
CONTACT

Defining what must work

The work is organised around how you will use it, reliable references and suitable checks. AI has its place within this method.

Version du 27 novembre 2026 — Imprimé le 27 novembre 2026



The expected result is described with the people concerned. A calculation, a user journey or a reference document can serve as the basis for the checks. Missing information is identified before the work begins.

Choosing the tools once the need is understood

Existing software, bespoke development or automation may be chosen. The options are compared on the quality expected, the data to be processed, the costs and the maintenance effort.

Organising AI-assisted work

The tasks given to agents, their access rights and the actions they are allowed to take are defined. Results are checked through tests, comparisons with references and reviews of the work produced.

Limiting what an agent can do

The working environment sets which tools are accessible and the conditions under which they run. This is sometimes called a “harness”. Repeatable tests make it possible to check that everything still works after a change.

Comparing against a reference

An expected calculation, a verified data set or a source document can serve as an independent reference, sometimes called an “oracle”. A plausible result is not enough when the answer can be checked precisely.

Reviewing before accepting

Evaluators, sometimes called “judges”, can help to spot defects. Their observations are checked against the tests and the requirements of the project. A disagreement or an uncertain result must remain visible.

Checking real-world use

Everyday cases, errors and interruptions are examined. For a manual or an image, consistency with the product and the sources is part of the checks.

Explaining and handing over

The technical choices, the known limits and the instructions are documented. The agreed sources, exports and maintenance terms are set out with the delivery.

Almost thirty years of practice in software and systems, twenty years of technical communication and experience in digital forensics help us to guide these choices.